Carotid CT angiography: comparison of image quality for left versus right arm injections
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Purpose
To evaluate the differences in image quality of carotid computed tomographic angiography (CTA) of patients injected with contrast material in their right arms versus patients injected with contrast material in their left arms.

Materials and Methods
Patients who had cerebral vascular accidents and subsequently underwent CTA were included in the study. Contrast material was injected into the right arms of 44 patients and into the left arms of 46 patients. A total of 90 CTAs were retrospectively evaluated for perivenous streak artifacts and contrast material reflux into the veins of the neck and upper thorax. After adjusting for differences in gender, the relationship between the injection site and the intensity of perivenous streak artifacts and venous reflux was determined.

Results
Perivenous streak artifacts and venous reflux were demonstrated in patients who underwent either right or left arm injections. However, the intensity of perivenous streak artifacts was stronger in patients who were injected with contrast material in the left arm. Venous reflux into the neck and upper thorax veins was also more severe and more frequent with left arm injections. A decreased retrosternal distance facilitated reversed flow into the veins when the left arm injection was used.

Conclusion
Perivenous beam hardening streak artifacts and venous reflux could not be prevented with right or left arm injections. However, patients who were injected with contrast material in their right arms showed fewer artifacts, thus allowing for better quality images on CTA.
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Computed tomographic angiography (CTA) is an excellent method, with low morbidity and great availability, for the evaluation of stroke patients. High-quality images are essential for a correct diagnosis. To obtain sufficient images, techniques are used to eliminate artifacts and ensure proper image post-processing (1–3).

Greater density in the neck veins may lead to streak artifacts and may ultimately be the cause of incorrect diagnoses, such as thrombosis or dissection within the adjacent arteries. Increased densities may also prevent high-quality reconstructions. Contrast material reflux into the veins leads to a higher density in the veins and may be seen in daily practice for no apparent reason. A few studies have suggested that when injecting contrast material into the right arm, there is a significant reduction in jugular vein reflux and perivenous artifacts. It has been reported that brachiocephalic vein compression and left jugular vein stasis might be related to decreased retrosternal distance and may be prevented with left arm injection (3–6).

We evaluated all of the parameters that have been considered in previous studies related to the injection side and those issues in CTA performance that may lead to misdiagnoses with nondiagnostic images.

In this study, artifacts that may be related to right or left arm injections, as well as artifacts that may reduce image quality of CTA, were investigated in a systematic fashion.

Materials and methods
Patient population

CTAs of 90 patients with clinically suspected cervical or intracranial vascular disease were retrospectively reviewed. CTAs performed on patients with heart failure, vascular anomalies, or occlusions were not included. All patients were informed of the study before the CTA examination.

The first group of 44 patients, which consisted of 21 men and 23 women, with a mean age of 64±13.2 years and an age range of 31 to 85 years, received contrast material in their right arms. The second group, consisting of 18 men and 28 women, with ages ranging from 31 to 87 years and a mean age of 60.76±13.23 years, received contrast material injections in their left arms.

CT protocol

All CTA scans were obtained using a four-detector row CT scanner (HiSpeed; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA). The patients assumed a supine position with their arms at their sides. Prior to the procedure, the patients were instructed to remove any movable dental implants, to breathe quietly without swallowing, and to remain still during the scan. A lateral scout view including the thorax, neck,
and skull was acquired. The CTA scan range reached from the aortic arch to the vertex. The scan parameters were as follows: the section thickness was 2.5 mm, the table feed for rotation was 2.5 mm, the pitch was at unity, the voltage was set at 120 kV, and the current was 250 mA. The scanning range was planned in a caudocranial direction. Nonionic contrast material, with an iodine concentration of 300 mg I/mL (iohexol [Omnipaque 300]; Amersham Health, Cork, Ireland), was injected with a power injector through an 18- to 20-gauge IV cannula (depending on the size of the vein) in an antecubital vein. All patients received 120 mL of contrast material, at an injection rate of 3.5 mL/s. Saline was not injected following the administration of the contrast material. Synchronization between the passage of contrast material and data acquisition was achieved with a bolus tracking technique. The CTA scan was triggered automatically by means of a threshold, measured in a region of interest (ROI) set in the common carotid artery. The threshold was set at 100 HU.

Slice thicknesses and intervals of 1.25 mm were used during post-processing and reconstruction.

**Data collection and image analysis**

The age and gender of each patient were noted. Subsequently, the patients were grouped according to the contrast material injection site. For each patient, axial and reformatted images were analyzed for perivenous streak artifacts and reflux. The shortest retrosternal distance between the sternum or sternoclavicular joint and the aortic arch or its branches was measured in axial images in patients with left arm injections.

To evaluate the relationship between the injection side and the internal jugular vein (IJV) reflux severity, the length of the IJV reflux was measured in millimeters on sagittal or coronal maximum-intensity-projection images. The length was compared between right and left arm injections.

Reflex in the branches of the subclavian vein (SCV) and brachiocephalic vein (BCV), involving veins other than the IJV, was grouped as follows: (a) reflex into one of the branch veins (external jugular, paravertebral or mediastinal veins), (b) reflex into two branch veins, and (c) reflex into all three branch veins. The relationships between these three groups and the intensity of the perivenous streak artifacts and injection site were evaluated.

Perivenous artifacts were evaluated on axial images and were scored as follows: 0, no artifact, clear visualization of the perivenous tissues; 1, artifacts that may lead to false positive stenosis or dissection in the arteries near the veins; and 2, profuse artifacts that make the image unusable for assessment. The relationship between artifact scores and right and left arm injections and venous reflux was evaluated.

**Statistical analysis**

Software (SPSS 10.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The differences between right and left arm injection in regards to age, gender, and length of IJV reflux were evaluated using a \( \chi^2 \) test. Fisher’s exact test was used to evaluate the relationship between IJV reflux frequency and right and left arm injections. The difference in the retrosternal distance in patients with and without IJV reflux was determined with the Mann-Whitney U test. The relationship between perivenous artifact scores and gender, injection site, presence of branch vein reflux, and the presence and length of IJV reflux was evaluated using a \( \chi^2 \) test. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

### Table 1. The relationship of age, gender, internal jugular vein reflux and contrast material injection arm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Right arm (n=44)</th>
<th>Left arm (n=46)</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62.36±1.95</td>
<td>62.12±1.95</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>21/23</td>
<td>18/28</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJV reflux length (cm)</td>
<td>6.5±11.73</td>
<td>32.93±6.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The relationship between IJV reflux frequency and right and left arm injections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection site</th>
<th>Reflux (-)</th>
<th>Reflux (+)</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left arm</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right arm</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

The mean age and gender differences between right and left arm injections were not statistically significant (Table 1) (\( P > 0.05 \)). IJV reflux was observed in 54.4% (49/90) of the patients. The frequency and length were statistically higher in left arm injections as compared to right arm injections (Tables 1 and 2). IJV reflux was not affected by gender.

The mean shortest retrosternal distance was 9.3±5.4 mm for IJV-reflux-negative left arm injections. This value was smaller in the patients with IJV reflux (6.87±3.98) (Fig. 1a, b).

Branch vein reflux (Fig. 2) was observed in 25 patients. It was more frequent with left arm injections than with the right arm. It was detected in 50% of patients in whom a left arm injection was performed and 4.5% of patients in whom a right arm injection was performed. Severe branch vein reflux, defined as having reflux into two or three branch veins, was associated with left arm injections, and it was not detected with right arm injections. The artifact score was not correlated with the severity of the branch vein reflux.

Streak artifacts were more frequent when the left arm was used for contrast material injection. The severity of perivenous streak artifacts (Fig. 3) was independent of the injection site, the presence of branch vein reflux, and the presence and extension of IJV reflux (Table 3) (\( P > 0.05 \)).
Figure 1. a, b. Axial CT image (a) demonstrating brachiocephalic vein compression (arrow). Sagittal reformatted image (b) showing reflux of contrast medium into the left IJV (arrows) due to constitutional retrosternal narrowing.

Figure 2. Reflux into the branching veins (paravertebral, external jugular veins) (white arrows) and the IJV (black arrow) are clearly shown on an axial source image.

Figure 3. Transverse CT image showing prominent perivenous streak artifacts (arrow) due to a higher density in the brachiocephalic vein. The artifacts obscure the supraaortic branches.

Table 3. Relationship of perivenous artifacts and injection site, IJV reflux, length of IJV reflux, gender, and branching vein reflux

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Artifact score</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injection site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left arm</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right arm</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJV reflux (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJV reflux (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJV reflux length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–10 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching vein reflux (+)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching vein reflux (-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>74.16±10.6</td>
<td>66.39±10.36</td>
<td>58.64±13.7</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IJV, internal jugular vein
Discussion

Adequate homogenous luminal contrast is required for optimal visualization of an artery with CTA. The attenuation of the surrounding structures should be less than the arteries to obtain good quality reconstructions and to avoid streak artifacts. Venous contamination and venous stasis lead to higher attenuation in veins and distort images of adjacent arteries. Common reasons for venous stasis and venous hyperdensity include venous reflux, severe heart failure, expiration, mediastinal masses, aortic aneurysms, brachiocephalic vein stenosis and superior vena cava syndrome (7–10).

Barmeir et al. (6) described a technical artifact that resulted in nondiagnostic examinations in 3 of 54 carotid CTAs. They changed the technical parameters and the volume of contrast material used, but could not improve the image quality. They reported that they did not see IJV reflux in 1812 carotid CTAs obtained after changing the injection site from the left to right arm (6). In our study, reflux into the IJV was found in 49 patients (54.4%). This finding significantly increased when the left antecubital vein was used for injection. In 30 of the 49 patients with reflux (61.2%), the contrast material was injected into the left side. We also found that a decrease in the retrosternal distance predisposed patients to reflux when the left arm was used for injection. The length of the reflux was also significantly higher in left arm injections. The results of our study are consistent with the study performed by Tseng et al. (4), who measured the retrosternal distance in nine patients in whom CTAs had been repeated with contrast material injections to the right and left arms. Their work showed that the retrosternal distance was 6.5±0.17 mm for four patients who had obvious reflux after left arm injection and 13.2±0.22 mm for five patients who had no reflux (4).

Branching vein reflux, involving veins other than the IJV, is another artifact source and prevents the acquisition of high-quality carotid CTA reconstructions. This was found in 25 of 90 patients (27.7%) and was significantly less frequent than IJV reflux. It was more frequent with left arm injections. Our results are concordant with the study of You et al. (5), who evaluated image quality differences between right and left arm injections. They administered the contrast material to the right (n=30) or the left (n=30) antecubital vein while obtaining head and neck CT and compared venous reflux into the branching veins and perivenous artifacts between the two groups. They reported that the scores of the perivenous artifacts for the right arm injections were lower than the scores for the left arm injections. They suggested that the venous system is longer in the left arm and that this might have a role in the severity of artifacts (5). The authors did not investigate a possible relationship between branching vein reflux and perivenous artifacts. Our results show that the severity of the perivenous streak artifacts is independent of branching vein reflux.

The factors that predispose certain patients to IJV reflux may lead to reflux in the branching veins. However, a small diameter and different angles with the brachiocephalic and subclavian veins with respect to the IJV may reduce reflux.

Various methods were evaluated for eliminating the perivenous streak artifacts. Dilution of the contrast material is one of these methods. However, it is not suitable for CTA because highly concentrated (greater amount of iodine) contrast medium is preferable in CTA (11). The addition of a chaser bolus is another possible method that may be used to reduce streak artifacts in CTA. This method requires a dual-barrel power injector, and it is not useful for carotid CTA because the scan delay is shorter in carotid CTA and scanning should be started before the injection of contrast material is complete (12, 13).

In conclusion, the injection site of the contrast medium is important to the image quality of carotid CTA. Injection into the right arm decreases reflux into the IJV, BCV and SCV branches. Use of the right arm does not prevent perivenous streak artifacts but does reduce their frequency.
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