Pulmonary subsolid nodules: what radiologists need to know about the imaging features and management strategy
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ABSTRACT
Pulmonary subsolid nodules (SSNs) refer to pulmonary nodules with pure ground-glass nodules and part-solid ground-glass nodules. SSNs are frequently encountered in the clinical setting, such as screening chest computed tomography (CT). The main concern regarding pulmonary SSNs, particularly when they are persistent, has been lung adenocarcinoma and its precursors. The CT manifestations of SSNs help radiologists and clinicians manage these lesions. However, the management plan for SSNs has not previously been standardized. Recently, the Fleischner Society published recommendations for the management of incidentally detected SSNs. The guidelines reflect the new lung adenocarcinoma classification system proposed by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society (IASLC/ATS/ERS) and include six specific recommendations according to the nodule size, solid portion and multiplicity. This review aims to increase the understanding of SSNs and the imaging features of SSNs according to their histology, natural course, possible radiologic interventions, such as biopsy, localization prior to surgery, and current management.

A ground-glass nodule (GGN) is the morphologic description of a pulmonary nodule category on thin-section chest computed tomography (CT). During the past decade, the natural history, management strategy and long-term prognosis in the case of GGNs have attracted attention. Pure GGNs are defined as focal nodular areas of increased lung attenuation through which lung parenchymal structures, such as the pulmonary vessels or bronchial structures, can be observed (1). Part-solid GGNs are nodules that present with both ground-glass and solid components in which the underlying lung architecture cannot be visualized (1, 2). The term subsolid nodules (SSNs) includes both pure and part-solid GGNs. In the Early Lung Cancer Action Project (ELCAP) study, researchers revealed that the malignancy rate of SSNs (34%) was higher than that of solid nodules (7%) (3). The malignancy rate for part-solid GGNs was 63%, and the rate for pure GGNs was 18% (3). Histologically, persistent SSNs represent a spectrum of peripheral adenocarcinomas or the precursors, from atypical adenomatous hyperplasias (AAH) to invasive adenocarcinomas (4), although this sequential development hypothesis has not yet been confirmed. However, not all SSNs are malignant. In fact, SSNs include various histologic backgrounds including many benign conditions, such as focal interstitial fibrosis, eosinophilic pneumonia, thoracic endometriosis, and focal hemorrhage (5, 6). Nevertheless, in consideration of the significance of lung cancer, we focus on SSNs in relation to adenocarcinomas in this review.

CT-pathologic correlations of subsolid nodules
Since a study by Jang et al. (7) first revealed that bronchioloalveolar carcinomas (BACs), which is an obsolete term that has been replaced by adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS), can be observed as SSNs on CT, several CT-pathologic correlation studies have been performed. AAH is defined as a localized, small (usually ≤ 5 mm) proliferation of atypical type II pneumocytes and/or Clara cells lining the alveolar walls and respiratory bronchioles (8). AAH usually appears on a CT as a small well-defined oval or round pure GGN ≤ 5 mm in diameter (Fig. 1), although these lesions have been reported to be as large as 1 to 2 cm (9, 10). Nonmucinous AIS typically appears as pure GGNs (Fig. 2), but a lesion with focal collapsed alveoli or focal thickened alveolar septa may manifest as a part-solid GGN (11). Mucinous AIS can appear as a solid nodule or as a consolidation (11).

Minimally invasive adenocarcinomas (MIAs), which is a newly introduced category in lung adenocarcinoma classification, are small, solitary adenocarcinomas (≤ 3 cm), with a predominantly lepidic pattern and invasive component ≤ 5 mm in their greatest dimension (12). To
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date, imaging features have not been sufficiently described for MIAs; however, nonmucinous MIAs have been shown to appear as part-solid GGNs with a small solid component ≤5 mm (Fig. 3) or as pure GGNs (1, 11). Mucinous MIAs are much less frequent and may present as predominantly solid nodules (1).

Invasive adenocarcinomas with a predominant lepidic pattern can appear as part-solid GGNs with variable proportion of solid components (Fig. 4) (11). It is important to note that there is a significant imaging overlap between preinvasive lesions and invasive adenocarcinomas (13); both can appear as either pure or part-solid GGNs with only a difference in the frequency of each manifestation on a CT (13). The differentiation between the two entities based on imaging findings will be discussed in the following section.

Invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma, formerly referred to as mucinous BAC, is now recognized to have invasive components in a majority of the cases (12). The spectrum of imaging features for invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma varies widely from solid nodules or SSNs to lobar consolidations and may include air bronchograms or the CT angiogram sign (4, 11).

**Further discrimination of subsolid nodules based on CT features**

Given the poor prognosis of lung adenocarcinomas, it would be of the utmost importance to differentiate benign lesions from malignant tumors. Several studies have focused on the morphologic characteristics of SSNs for their differentiation. Lee et al. (14) reported that the predictive CT findings of malignancy were a size of >8 mm and a lobulated border for pure GGNs and a lobulated border for part-solid GGNs. Oda et al. (9) stated that an internal air bronchogram was more commonly associated with BAC than with AAH. In a different approach, Takahashi et al. (15) showed that a size of >10 mm, a lobulated margin and bubble-like appearance on initial CT were indicative of the future growth of pure GGNs, which implies probable malignancy.

Recently, Lee et al. (16) reported the differentiating CT features of invasive adenocarcinomas from preinvasive lesions (AAHs and AIs) appearing as SSNs in which preinvasive lesions can be candidates for limited resection or even close imaging follow ups. In pure GGNs, a lesion size of less than 10 mm specifically discriminated between preinvasive lesions and invasive adenocarcinomas (16). In part-solid GGNs, preinvasive lesions could accurately be distinguished from invasive adenocarcinomas by the smaller lesion size, smaller solid proportion, nonlobulated border, and nonspiculated margin (16). The mean nodule size and solid proportion of preinvasive lesions were 12.6±5.0 mm and 29.6±18.1%, respectively, while, for invasive lesions, they were 18.1±5.4 mm and 56.7±26.6%, respectively (16).

**Importance of the solid component in subsolid nodules**

The solid component of SSNs is known to represent the invasive foci of adenocarcinomas although other histologic changes, such as alveolar collapse, inflammation, fibrosis, and, occasionally, mucus, may also appear as a solid region of SSNs on CT (4). The solid component is particularly important because it is related to the prognosis of the patient (17–19). Tsutani et al. (20) found that the size of the solid region was an independent predictor of nodal involvement in clinical stage IA lung adenocarcinomas. The size of the solid region was also found to be predictive of high-grade malignancy (positive lymphatic, vascular, or pleural invasion) and disease-free survival in clinical stage IA lung ad-
enocarcinomas (18). According to a study by Murakawa et al. (17), which included 241 patients with stage T1-2N0M0 lung adenocarcinomas, the ground-glass opacity (GGO) component showed little influence on tumor recurrence, and recurrence-free survival was solely dependent on the solid component size (17). In this context, the researchers even suggested that a T factor measured by the solid component might be a more accurate prognostic parameter (17).

Some studies revealed the importance of the proportion of the nodule that contained a solid component (21, 22). A prospective, multi-institutional study, which enrolled 811 patients with peripheral clinical IA lung cancer, showed that the tumor size ≤2.0 cm or ≤0.25 consolidation/tumor ratio at a lung window setting could be used as a radiologic criterion for noninvasive pathology, such as a tumor lacking nodal involvement, vascular invasion, or lymphatic invasion (22). In addition, patients who met the above criterion showed excellent survival after surgical resection and hilar/mediastinal lymph node dissection (97.1% for the five year overall and five year relapse-free survivals) (21). Similarly, the tumor disappearance rate (also known as the tumor vanishing ratio method), which is defined as the ratio between the GGN size measured at the lung window setting and at the mediastinal window setting, was suggested to have a prognostic value (23, 24).

Currently, the management plan for part-solid GGNs is determined by the size of the solid portion (2). Part-solid GGNs with solid components >5 mm should be considered malignant, and biopsy or surgical resection is recommended after confirmation of the persistence of the nodule (2). This recommendation is based on the new adenocarcinoma classification by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society (IASLC/ATS/ERS) (12). Lesions in which the solid component measures 5 mm or less usually represent either AIS or MIA, in which case, conservative management may be indicated (2). The five-year disease-specific survival for both entities is nearly 100% if complete resection is achieved (2). These circumstances accentuate the importance of radiologic measurement of the solid component in part-solid GGNs. The new lung adenocarcinoma classification by IASLC/ATS/ERS recommends reporting both the solid component size as well as the total tumor size (12). The mediastinal window setting has frequently been used for identifying the solid portion (2); however, there is no standard criterion or definitive objective method for measuring the solid portion. Some researchers have used the lung window setting, instead of the mediastinal window setting, for the solid portion (19). The volumetric approach and Hounsfield unit (HU) threshold method are additional possible options for quantifying the solid portions.

Volumetric analysis of subsolid nodules

For small GGNs that lack a definite solid portion, differentiating malignant from benign GGNs is based on the detection of nodule growth on subsequent follow-up examinations. However, considering the slow growth of SSNs (25), early detection of growth on CT is not an easy task. SSN growth can manifest as an increase in the size and/or attenuation or the new occurrence of solid components within SSNs without a significant volume change (26). In this setting, computer-aided volumetry can be a helpful tool because it can provide accurate and
Role of FDG-PET for detecting subsolid nodules

Fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) has been shown to accurately stage non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs) and, as a result, has been widely adopted as the standard for the evaluation of patients with NSCLC (30). It has also been reported that glucose metabolism on 18F-FDG PET correlates with the patient’s prognosis (31–33). However, the role of 18F-FDG PET for SSNs is not yet well established.

Kim et al. (30) reported a high false-negative rate of 18F-FDG PET for identifying BACs. They stated that 18F-FDG PET showed no clear advantage for the staging of lung cancer with predominant GGOs because of the low incidence of nodal and distant metastasis (30). Lee et al. (34) also insisted that 18F-FDG PET should have only a limited role in the nodal staging of T1 SSNs because 18F-FDG PET showed very low sensitivity and significantly lower accuracy than chest CT. In addition, no lymph node metastasis was present in patients with a solid proportion ≤50% according to Lee et al. (34) and Matsuguma et al. (35). In this context, 18F-FDG PET is not recommended for the staging of small, pure GGNs.

Several researchers have suggested that 18F-FDG PET could be helpful in the staging and prediction of the prognosis of stage I adenocarcinomas (20, 36). Tsutani et al. (20) reported that the maximum standardized uptake value ($SU_{max}$) was an independent predictor of nodal metastasis in clinical stage I adenocarcinomas, and Okada et al. (36) showed that the $SU_{max}$ was a significant preoperative predictor for surgical outcomes including disease-free survival and overall survival. However, these studies dealt with both part-solid GGNs and solid tumors and did not focus on the prognostic role of 18F-FDG PET in detecting SSNs. In spite of the Fleischner Society recommendation for PET imaging of part-solid nodules larger than 10 mm (Fig. 6) (2), the usefulness of 18F-FDG PET for SSNs remains in question. Conclusions regarding this issue should be deferred until future prospective studies provide us with more data.

Natural clinical course of subsolid nodules

With respect to screening-detected SSNs, it has been reported that 37% to 70% of nodules are transient and disappear on follow-up studies (1). Transient SSNs are associated with infectious or inflammatory conditions, and blood eosinophilia is one of the well-known causes of transient inflammation in the lung (1, 37). Lee et al. (37) reported that transient SSNs are related to features such as a young patient age, detection at follow up, blood eosinophilia, lesion multiplicity, a large solid portion, and an ill-defined lesion border.

Persistent SSNs are generally known to grow slowly; however, the exact natural history of SSNs has not been extensively investigated to date (Table 1). Some retrospective studies have revealed that part-solid GGNs grow faster than pure GGNs and that adenocarcinomas manifesting as SSNs showed shorter volume doubling...
According to Oda et al. (39), the mean VDTs for AAHs, BACs, and adenocarcinomas were 859.2±428.9, 421.2±228.4, and 202.1±84.3 days, respectively. The mean VDTs for pure and part-solid GGNs were 628.5±404.2 and 276.9±155.9 days, respectively (39). Interestingly, the VDTs of part-solid GGNs that were proven to be adenocarcinomas were shorter than those of pure-GGNs that were also histologically proven to be adenocarcinomas (457±260 vs. 813±375 days) (39). With the finding that the internal attenuation of SSNs had a significant correlation with the VDT, Oda et al. (39) suggested that SSNs with high

Table 1. Publications on the natural history of pulmonary subsolid nodules and their growth rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year published</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Follow-up period</th>
<th>Inclusion of patients with past for pure malignancy</th>
<th>Growing proportion GGNs (n, %)</th>
<th>Growing proportion for part-solid GGNs (n, %)</th>
<th>VDT for overall, pure, or for part-solid GGNs, if recorded (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aoki et al. (63)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Overall, 880 (range, 662–1486)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasegawa et al. (38)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 458 days</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Pure, 812.3±375 Part-solid, 457±260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodama et al. (43)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Median, 32 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11/19 (58)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakinuma et al.* (64)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 17 months</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Pure, 417±220 (range, 198–669)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindell et al.* (65)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Pure, 469±452 Part-solid, 568±1222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiramatsu et al. (42)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Median, 1048 days</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14/95 (15)</td>
<td>12/30 (40)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saito et al. (66)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Median, 732 days</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Overall, 770±914 (range, 225–1402)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oda et al.* (39)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 188 days</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Overall, 486±369 (range, 89–1583)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silve et al.* (41)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>Mean, 50 months</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8/48 (17)</td>
<td>12/26 (46)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al.* (15)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 66 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19/150 (13)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Pure, 1248±600 (range, 360–2439)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. (40)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 59 months</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12/122 (10)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Pure, median, 769 (range, 330–3031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobayashi et al. (44)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 4.2 years</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29/108 (27)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuguma et al. (67)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Mean, 29 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14/98 (14)</td>
<td>27/76 (36)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unless otherwise specified, data are given as mean±standard deviation.

†VDT for ≤3 cm Noguchi type A and B lesions, which included five tumors with >50% of GGO proportion and one solid nodule. The VDT was calculated using the mean of the longest and shortest diameters of the tumor measured on the initial and final chest radiography.

‡Volume was calculated using bidimensional measurements of the maximum and perpendicular diameters.

§This study included histologically diagnosed pure GGNs >5 mm at initial thin-section CT.

¶The VDT was calculated using the means of the length and width of the lesion.

‖The study population was extracted from a prospective study in which screening CT scans were obtained initially and every 12 months thereafter for five years.

‖‖Noguchi type A, B, C, and D lesions were included and described as “GGO-like lesions”.

‖‖‖Histologically diagnosed subsolid nodules ≤30 mm were included.

‖‖‖‖Calculation of the VDT was based on computer-aided three-dimensional volumetry (3D-analysis software, Fujitsu, Tokyo, Japan).

‖‖‖‖‖The study population was extracted from the Multicentric Italian Lung Detection (MILD) trial at the National Cancer Institute of Milan.

‖‖‖‖‖‖Data are for part-solid GGNs with a solid component smaller than 5 mm.

‖‖‖‖‖‖‖This study included pure GGNs ≤15 mm at initial detection.

‖‖‖‖‖‖‖‖This study did not report the growing proportion of pure and part-solid GGNs separately. Among 108 subsolid nodules with 82 pure and 26 part-solid GGNs, 29 showed interval growth.

‖‖‖‖‖‖‖‖‖This study included subsolid nodules ≤2 cm in diameter and >20% in GGO portion. The estimated two-year and five-year cumulative percentages of growing nodules were 13% and 23% for nonsolid nodules and 38% and 55% for part-solid nodules, respectively.

‖‖‖‖‖‖‖‖‖‖GGN, ground-glass nodule; GGO, ground-glass opacity; N/A, not applicable; VDT, volume doubling time.

Figure 6. a, b. A case of an invasive adenocarcinoma in a 63-year-old female, which appeared as a part-solid ground-glass nodule on CT (a). On 18F-FDG PET (b), this nodule (arrow) showed an increased maximum standardized uptake value of 2.7.
Imaging-guided biopsy of subsolid nodules

With respect to the biopsy of SSNs, several CT-based approaches including sequential CT-guided biopsy, CT fluoroscopy-guided biopsy, and cone-beam CT (CBCT) virtual-navigation-guided biopsy have been introduced (45–49). Either a fine needle aspiration biopsy or a core biopsy can be used, but core biopsy showed higher diagnostic accuracy (46, 49). The reported diagnostic accuracy of CT-based biopsy for SSNs ranged from 64.6% to 93.0% (45–49).

Regarding CT fluoroscopy-guided needle aspiration biopsy, Hur et al. (45) reported a diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 71%, 100%, and 82%, respectively. CT fluoroscopy-guided core biopsy showed a higher diagnostic accuracy of 90.6% in a more recent study (49). Notably, the diagnostic accuracy of CT fluoroscopy-guided needle aspiration or core biopsy for pure GGNs was lower than that for part-solid GGNs (45, 49), which would be due to the low cellularity of pure GGNs (45). However, Kim et al. (46), using CT-guided core biopsy, reported conflicting results that the diagnostic accuracy was not influenced by the proportion of the GGO component. We do not currently have a definite conclusion on whether biopsy would provide accurate diagnosis for SSNs.

Recently, Lu et al. (47) revealed that stromal invasion was underestimated in 43.5% of CT-guided core biopsy specimens, especially in pure GGNs, compared to the surgical pathology. Kim et al. (46) also reported an underestimation of the pathologic grade on biopsy. Among the 13 patients initially diagnosed as having BAC at the core biopsy in the study by Kim et al. (46), seven patients were confirmed to have adenoscarcinosmas with a BAC feature.

According to Choo et al. (50), CBCT virtual-navigation-guided biopsy showed an excellent diagnostic yield for small (≤1 cm) lung nodules with a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 96.7%, 100%, and 98.0%, respectively. Approximately 10% of the nodules were SSNs in the Choo et al. study (50). CBCT-guided biopsy is expected to show high diagnostic accuracy for SSNs (Fig. 7); however, the diagnostic performance of CBCT-guided biopsy for SSNs requires further validation with a larger study population.

Considering the findings that CT-based biopsy might not provide a satisfactory diagnostic yield for small pure GGNs and that small invasive foci could not be accurately sampled, CT-based biopsy alone may have some limitations for the pathologic confirmation of SSNs. In addition, postbiopsy hemoptysis was found to be more common in SSNs than in solid tumors (51). Thus, if the suspicion for malignancy in a SSN is high on CT (e.g., persistent part-solid nodule) or if there is any increase in the size of the whole lesion or solid portion of SSNs, CT-guided biopsy may be bypassed, and surgical biopsy can be performed to obtain a pathologic diagnosis (13, 52).

Localization of subsolid nodules prior to surgery

In addition to biopsy, there is another important radiologic interventional procedure for SSNs. Because SSNs are often impalpable in the operation field and indistinguishable from the normal parenchyma exteriorly, preoperative marking techniques have been reported for localization during thoracoscopic surgery using a dye, colored collagen, barium, lipiodol, microcoil, or a metallic wire (53). Either a percutaneous approach or a transbronchial approach can be used (54). Today, metallic hook wire localization under CT guidance is the most widely used technique (54). CT-guided hook wire localization is accurate in localizing the lesion, is simple to operate, and helps facilitate the complete excision of the nodule by the use of an endostapler because the presence of a wire hook makes it possible to exert slight traction (55). However, wire dislodgement occurs in up to 20% of these cases, and having a metallic wire in the chest wall can be uncomfortable for the patients (54).

Lee et al. (54) recently reported their results on CT-guided percutaneous barium marking of SSNs prior to video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) (Fig. 8). In their study, all target nodules were marked within a 3 mm distance from the injected barium sulfate suspension, and all barium balls were...
completely resected after visualization by intraoperative fluoroscopy (54).

One advantage of this technique is the flexible scheduling of time in the operation room because barium remains in the body for a relatively long period of time (56). Care should be taken to avoid directly injecting the barium into the target nodule, which could otherwise interfere with the pathologic diagnosis of the target nodule due to acute inflammation associated with barium materials (54). In this respect, lipiodol should be considered as an alternative because lipiodol is known to induce only minimal inflammation in a few hours (57).

Several researchers have suggested that CT-guided radioactive dye injection, which uses microspheres of human albumin serum labeled with Technetium-99m (99mTc), is preferable to subcentimeter nodules during VATS because it offers higher sensitivity, minimal operator dependence, minimal complications and a lower risk of failures (58). However, this novel method requires preparation of 99mTc-labeled albumin prior to the procedure and requires a special probe during surgery to convert radioactivity into an audio signal.

Surgical approach for subsolid nodules

Lobectomy with lymph node dissection has been the standard for lung cancer resection. However, many Japanese investigators have suggested limited resection including wedge resection without lymph node dissection or...
segmentectomy based on the excellent prognosis and very low probability of lymph node metastasis of peripheral small adenocarcinomas appearing as SSNs (59, 60). A few studies have reported good survival after limited resection of peripheral early stage lung cancers, and some have even stated that long-term survival after limited resection was equivalent to that after lobectomy (21, 61). In addition, limited resection preserved more lung function postoperatively than did lobectomy (62). This is important in that limited resection would offer patients a higher tolerance for the second lung resection, given that as many as 11.5% of patients who undergo resection of lung cancer develop additional primary lung cancers within their lifetimes (60). However, it is difficult to draw definite conclusions from the above studies because these were not randomized trials and involved relatively short follow-up intervals. In fact, according to one prospective study that reported a long-term outcome after a median follow-up period of 10 years, limited resection preserved more lung function postoperatively than did lobectomy (62). This is important in that limited resection preserved more lung function postoperatively than did lobectomy (62).

The role of limited resection may be clarified by currently ongoing randomized controlled clinical trials. A single-arm, limited-resection trial referred to as the Japanese Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG) 0804/West Japan Oncology Group (WJOG) 4507L, is in progress for subsolid T1aN0M0 peripheral tumors with a consolidation-tumor size ratio of 0.25 or less. Two other phase III randomized trials of standard lobectomy versus experimental limited resection for small (≤2 cm in diameter) peripheral non-small cell lung cancers are also ongoing in the United States (CALGB-140503) and in Japan (JCOG0802/WJOG4607L). These trials will hopefully provide compelling evidence for the selection of the surgical extent of SSNs.

### Current management guidelines from the Fleischner Society

Recently, the Fleischner Society published recommendations for the management of SSNs (2). The recommendations include guidelines for single pure and part-solid GGNs as well as for multiple GGNs with or without a dominant nodule. Guidelines are specified according to the size of the nodule and solid portion and are written with special consideration for SSNs confirmed to persist after initial follow-up CT at three months (2). One notable point is the consideration of the new adenocarcinoma classification (12). As mentioned above, patients with AIS or MIA who undergo complete surgical resection should have 100% or near 100% five-year disease-free survival (2). Thus, solitary part-solid nodules with solid portion sizes ≤5 mm may be conservatively monitored without immediate resection or biopsy (2).

An important prerequisite for the Fleischner Society guidelines is adequate acquisition and interpretation of a chest CT (2). First, thin-section CT is required, ideally with 1 mm section thickness. Second, the GGO component is evaluated with the lung window setting, whereas the solid component is evaluated on the mediastinal window setting. Third, bidimensional measurements should be acquired with an electronic caliper. The recommendations are based on the average of the long and short dimensions and the same measurement method should be consistently applied to all subsequent CT acquisitions. Last but not least, each examination should be compared to the initial imaging findings to detect the subtle growth of SSNs.

In addition, there are several issues worth noting. It is important to recognize that not all lesions with slight size decreases are necessarily benign, particularly when they are associated with an increase in attenuation because adenocarcinomas can decrease temporarily in size owing to fibrosis or atelectasis (2). SSNs that enlarge and/or increase in attenuation with or without the new appearance of a solid component during follow up should be managed with a high degree of suspicion and an aggressive approach is

---

**Table 2. Management guidelines for pulmonary subsolid nodules recommended by Fleischner Society (2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodule type</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial detection</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure GGN(s) ≤5 mm</td>
<td>No CT follow-up required for a solitary lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If multiple, CT follow-up at two and four years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure GGN(s) &gt;5 mm and part-solid GGN(s) of any size</td>
<td>Initial follow-up CT at three months to confirm the lesions’ persistence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solitary persistent nodule</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure GGN &gt;5 mm</td>
<td>Annual surveillance CT for at least three years if persistent at three months follow-up CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-solid GGN with solid component ≤5 mm</td>
<td>Annual surveillance CT for at least three years if persistent at three months follow-up CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-solid GGN with solid component &gt;5 mm</td>
<td>Biopsy or surgical resection if persistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple persistent nodules</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure GGNs &gt;5 mm</td>
<td>Annual surveillance CT for at least three years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant nodule with part-solid or solid component</td>
<td>Dominant lesion determines further management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biopsy or resection for part-solid with solid component &gt;5 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, computed tomography; GGN, ground-glass nodule.
recommended. Furthermore, risk factors such as smoking history, familial history of lung cancer or exposure to carcinogenic agents are not considered in the current guidelines due to a lack of sufficient data (2).

Detailed recommendations are as follows (Table 2) (2):

1) Solitary, pure GGNs measuring 5 mm or less do not require follow-up surveillance CT examinations.

2) Solitary, pure GGNs larger than 5 mm require an initial follow-up CT examination within three months, to determine persistence, followed by yearly surveillance CT examinations for a minimum of three years if they are persistent and unchanged. 18F-FDG PET is of low value due to its high false-negative rate and biopsy is not routinely recommended. For lesions that enlarge and/or increase in attenuation, surgical resection should be considered.

3) Solitary part-solid GGNs, especially those in which the solid component is larger than 5 mm, should be considered malignant until proven otherwise, provided either growth or no change is observed during the follow-up CT examination performed at three months. For part-solid GGNs of 8–10 mm, further evaluation with 18F-FDG PET is advisable and biopsy is not routinely recommended unless surgery is not an option. Part-solid GGNs with a solid portion ≤5 mm may be followed-up with yearly surveillance CT for a minimum of three years.

4) Multiple, well-defined GGNs all measuring 5 mm or less should be conservatively managed with follow-up CT examinations performed at two and four years.

5) In cases in which multiple pure GGNs are identified, at least one of which is larger than 5 mm, and in the absence of a dominant lesion, an initial follow-up CT examination at three months is recommended, followed by long-term yearly surveillance CT examinations for at least three years. Routine use of 18F-FDG PET or biopsy is not recommended. Dominant lesions refer to part-solid GGNs with solid components larger than 5 mm; pure GGNs larger than 10 mm; atypical subsolid nodules with spiculated contours, bubbly appearance or reticulation; pure GGNs or part-solid GGNs with solid components smaller than 5 mm that demonstrate an interval change in size or attenuation or solid lesions with characteristics suspicious of invasive carcinoma.

6) In cases with multiple subsolid nodules in which a dominant lesion(s) can be identified, the dominant lesion(s) is used to determine further management. After an initial follow-up CT examination at three months that confirms persistence, an aggressive approach to diagnosis and management is recommended, especially for lesions with solid components larger than 5 mm. For part-solid GGNs of 8–10 mm, further evaluation with 18F-FDG PET is advisable.

Conclusion
Subsolid nodules, particularly when they persist throughout follow-up, represent a spectrum of diseases from AAH to invasive adenocarcinoma. AAHs usually appear as small pure GGNs, whereas AISs, MIAAs, and invasive adenocarcinomas can appear as either pure or part-solid GGNs. For differentiating between preinvasive and invasive lesions, several morphologic characteristics, such as a larger nodule size, a greater solid proportion, a lobulated border, or a spiculated margin, have been suggested. However, these findings have not yet proven sufficiently reliable to guide the management plan. In addition, given that the solid component in GGNs is related to the invasiveness of the tumor as well as the patient’s prognosis, evaluation and follow-up of the solid portion is particularly important. SSNs are generally known to grow slowly; thus, long-term follow-up for at least three years is essential. With respect to radiologic intervention, CT-based nodule localization prior to surgery is a useful procedure in the management of SSNs. Lastly, recent Fleischner Society recommendations for SSNs should help radiologists with the follow-up of nodules in clinical practice.
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