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ABSTRACT
High-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) is a minimally-invasive and non-ionizing promising technology and has been assessed for its role in the treatment of not only primary tumors but also metastatic lesions under the guidance of ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging. Its performance is notably effective in neurologic, genitourinary, hepatopancreatico-biliary, musculoskeletal, oncologic, and other miscellaneous applications. In this article, we reviewed the emerging technology of HIFU and its clinical applications.

Ultrasound is an acoustic wave with frequencies greater than the hearing threshold of humans and can be used for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes in medicine. Diagnostic ultrasound imaging uses ultrasonic pressure waves to image the human interior, whereas therapeutic ultrasound uses alternating compression and rarefaction of sound waves for therapeutic benefit, based on the simple principle of focusing energy waves on a targeted point to produce a thermal effect (Fig. 1). Diagnostic medical ultrasound is typically in the range of 3–20 MHz, while the majority of therapeutic ultrasound typically resides in the 0.54–2 MHz range (1).

High-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) is a minimally invasive and non-ionizing technology and currently performs an important clinical role in many fields with abundant evidence on safety and efficacy. Therapeutic application of the HIFU modality, which can be used to treat many diseases by utilizing either thermal energy to induce denaturation of proteins or non-thermal energy to destroy tissue, is considered a rapidly emerging field (1). The HIFU beam passes through soft tissue, elevating the temperature at the target area (>55°C), thus generating cell death via local coagulative necrosis, which is the main principle for tumor cell damage. HIFU can hence be performed for chosen tissue elimination by generating well-defined volumes of coagulative necrosis deep inside the body without harming the surrounding tissues (Figs. 2, 3) (2).

The biological effects of HIFU were investigated first in 1927 by Wood and Loomis (3). In the 1940s, the first therapeutic trial with focused ultrasound, performed by Lynn et al. (4) to treat tumors in bovine liver, suggested that a geometrically concave transducer could be exploited to elevate the local intensity by focusing the ultrasonic energy without harming the adjacent tissues, while surrounding areas are maintained at low intensity. In the 1950s, the Fry brothers (5) designed and tested the HIFU device for the treatment of neurologic disorders (e.g., Parkinson’s disease). However, these early efforts at using HIFU ablation were hampered due to technologic limitations, such as lack of suitable image guidance, and were therefore discontinued in the clinical setting.

Advances in ultrasound imaging and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) modalities in the 1990s facilitated improved planning of HIFU treatment and monitoring of responses in real-time, and the recent development of high-power ultrasound transducers compatible with these imaging modalities has helped overcome the biggest obstacle in the field of therapeutic ultrasound.
As technology has improved, recognition and usefulness of HIFU has grown dramatically for many areas of the body, such as the brain, thyroid, liver, kidney, pancreas, breast, uterus, prostate, and bone. Furthermore, in the last two decades, HIFU, which is a novel and alternative therapeutic option to the conventional therapies, has been performed to treat many diseases of different solid organs. Given the rapid development of this method, we reviewed this new emerging technology as image guidance in HIFU and its clinical applications based on the organ systems: neurology, gynecology, urology, hepatopancreato-biliary, musculoskeletal system, breast and thyroid as shown in the Table.

**Emerging technology: image guidance in HIFU**

Emerging technology of HIFU could expand into several treatment areas as a minimally-invasive alternative to conventional surgery. Reliable image guidance is an essential prerequisite of HIFU treatment for patient selection, treatment planning, real-time treatment monitoring, safe delivery of the desired therapeutic dose, and treatment efficacy evaluation.

Most HIFU treatments are still performed under ultrasound imaging guidance mainly due to its cost-effectiveness and greater accessibility to patients (Fig. 4). It is the only modality that can produce data in real time. However, despite these potential benefits, there are several limitations associated with ultrasound imaging: (i) identification of the target volume; (ii) 3D planning; (iii) poor tissue-contrast between targeted lesion and surrounding tissue; (iv) degradation of the image quality during the treatment; (v) lack of quantitative feedback regarding tissue temperature, which limits intraprocedural assessment; and (vi) post-treatment lesion assessment (6).

Recent technologic advances in HIFU have resulted in a shift towards MRI guidance, with MRI used extensively for real-time identification and localization of abnormal tissue to be targeted, treatment planning and monitoring, accurate control of the temperature evolution during the treatment and immediate postprocedural evaluation of the treatment due to its superior soft tissue contrast, multiplanar imaging and minimally-invasive temperature monitoring capabilities (Figs. 5, 6). These capabilities could play an

---

**Main points**

- Ultrasound can be utilized as a therapeutic energy.
- Ultrasound and MRI are two guidance modalities integrated with high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU).
- HIFU is a minimally-invasive and non-ionizing treatment.
- Emerging HIFU technology is poised to become an alternative or adjunct to current standard treatments.
important role for predicting the immediate treatment outcome and are a critical safety feature to prevent excess heating of tissue in HIFU ablation (7).

**Emerging clinical HIFU applications**

**Neurology**

**Essential tremor**

Essential tremor (ET) is a frequent neurologic movement disorder in adults. The causes of ET and its mechanisms of pathogenesis are still unknown. Current available treatments are drug therapies, surgery, and deep brain stimulation. Beta-adrenergic blockers and primidone are the first-line treatment for ET. In order to impede abnormal nerve signals, radiofrequency ablation (RFA), gamma knife thalamotomy, deep brain stimulation, or stereotactic radiosurgery are considered as treatment options for drug-resistant ET patients. Recently, transcranial HIFU with MRI guidance has been used as a new surgical method applied to ET, and remarkable reduction of tremor score is increasingly reported (Fig. 7) (8). Findings from a multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial study led by Elias et al. (9) on 76 patients with moderate-to-severe ET treated by MRI-guided HIFU showed significant improvements of hand-tremor scores, disability and quality of life (e.g., 47% and 40% improvement at 3-month and 12-month assessment, respectively, compared with baseline), with a significant difference from patients who received a sham procedure (1% improvement at 3-month assessment). Adverse events related to HIFU therapy included gait disturbance, numbness or paresthesia. Nonetheless, at 12-month follow-up, these adverse events remained in only 9% and 14% of the treated patient population, respectively. In another study, Meng et al. (10) demonstrated that HIFU thalamotomy was relatively effective and maintained durably clinical benefit within the first year of treatment, and the size of the initial lesion was significantly correlated with a 12-month outcome.

**Parkinson’s disease**

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is an age-related neurodegenerative disease of the central nervous system. Currently, the primary options for treatment of motor symptoms include drug therapy, radiofrequency lesioning and deep brain stimulation. HIFU was initially utilized to treat PD in the 1950s. At that time, it was necessary to remove bone fragments of the skull. The Fry brothers first investigated the possibility of utilizing fo-

| Table. Currently approved clinical applications of HIFU (96) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Organ or system | Disease | Approved outside the United States | FDA approved |
| Neurology | Essential tremor | + | + |
| | Tremor-dominated Parkinson’s disease | + | + |
| | Neuropathic pain | + | - |
| | Obsessive compulsive disorder | + | - |
| | Epilepsy | - | - |
| | Alzheimer’s disease | - | - |
| Gynecology | Uterine leiomyoma | + | + |
| | Uterine adenomyosis | + | - |
| Urology | Prostate cancer | + | + |
| | Benign prostatic hyperplasia | + | + |
| | Renal tumors | + | - |
| Musculoskeletal | Bone metastasis | + | + |
| | Osteoid osteoma | + | - |
| | Desmoid tumor | + | - |
| | Facet joint osteoarthritis | + | - |
| Low-flow vascular malformations | Trials | Trials |
| Pain management of osteoarthritis | Trials | Trials |
| Hepato-pancreato-biliary | Liver tumors | + | - |
| | Pancreatic tumor | + | - |
| Breast | Fibroadenoma | + | - |
| | Breast cancer | + | - |
| Thyroid | Thyroid nodule | + | - |
High-intensity focused ultrasound for the treatment of PD in 1960 (6, 11). Despite promising results, these early investigations did not gain acceptance due to the appearance of levodopa-resistance problem along with dyskinesia within a few years after treatment.

Based on the first report on the use of the MRI-guided HIFU pallidothalamic tractotomy in the treatment of 13 patients (first 4 patients as group 1 and remaining 9 patients as group 2) with PD, Magara et al. (12) reported that HIFU sonication was repeated up to 5 times higher in group 2 than in group 1 to obtain a higher volume of thermally ablated lesions, resulting in visibly ablated lesions on T2-weighted images. As a result, average improvement based on the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale before and 3-month after treatment was 7.6% in group 1 and 60.9% in group 2, suggesting that higher treatment intensities with several sonication pulses are most effective in generating therapeutic lesions. In a study by Zaaroor et al. (13) on patients with PD who underwent MRI-guided HIFU ventral intermediate nucleus thalamotomy to diminish medication-resistant tremor, the findings showed that post-ablation adverse events comprised of asthenia, gait ataxia, taste disturbances, unsteady feeling and hand ataxia, but these adverse events naturally resolved at 3-month follow-up. A recent study by Lacopino et al. (14) on 4 patients who were the first series of patients treated with a transcranial HIFU thalamic system integrated with a 1.5T MRI machine showed that a simultaneous lightening of tremor severity was obtained without severe permanent side effects. A recent clinical trial study by Jung et al. (15) on 8 patients with PD undergoing unilateral MRI-guided HIFU pallidotomy revealed that baseline quality of life, unified PD rating scale and unified dyskinesia rating scale scores were significantly improved in comparison with 1-year follow-up scores. One patient suffered from an unusual contemporary dysarthria and grade III right motor hemiparesis.

Neuropathic pain

Neuropathic pain is a common form of the chronic pain correlated with nerve fiber dysfunction transmitting incorrect signals with minimal stimulation to the pain center. In a study by Jeamnomod et al. (16) on 12 patients with chronic therapy-resistant neuropathic pain who under-
went transcranial MRI-guided HIFU central lateral thalamotomies, the visual analog scale (VAS) pain score was significantly improved at 3-month and 12-month follow-up, with 6 patients experiencing simultaneous and persistent somatosensory improvements.

Other potential neuro applications

The blood-brain barrier is still one of the most significant limitations to treatments of central nervous system disorders such as neurodegenerative diseases, brain tumors, and psychiatric disorders. Under the guidance of MRI, HIFU is applied to preselected brain targets with sub-millimeter precision. Therefore, HIFU is a promising therapeutic method to increase permeability via blood-brain barrier disruption to benefit drug delivery to particular brain areas, hence improving the efficacy of drugs or other main therapeutic treatment options (17, 18). In addition, there are also many potential applications for neurologic disorders and neuromodulation, still under clinical trials or preclinical investigations such as Alzheimer’s disease, obsessive compulsive disorder and epilepsy (19–24).

**Gynecology**

**Uterine leiomyomas and adenomyosis**

Adenomyosis and uterine leiomyomas are two common benign gynecologic diseases that cause a significant negative effect on the quality of life of reproductive-aged women. Symptoms related to these diseases vary depending on their size and location, but the main symptoms are bulk effect and abnormal uterine bleeding, constipation, urinary disorders, and infertility (25–28). Conventional surgical treatment options for symptomatic uterine leiomyomas and adenomyosis are myomectomy and hysterectomy, which are still preferred by gynecologists. Recently, newer less invasive treatment modalities such as uterine arterial embolization, ultrasound-guided HIFU, and MRI-guided HIFU are increasingly being exploited for the treatment of symptomatic leiomyomas and adenomyosis (Fig. 8) (29–31).

The latest studies demonstrated the ability of HIFU to decrease the volume of leiomyomas and adenomyosis and its correlation with the nonperfused volume of the tumor simultaneously after treatment (32–35). In two recent studies led by Keserci et al. (34, 35), the findings suggested that an nonperfused volume ≥90% is attainable in MRI-guided HIFU treatment of both adenomyosis and uterine leiomyomas without trading off the safety of patients. Furthermore, current achievements in HIFU treatments have also demonstrated that symptoms are significantly improved in terms of pain, bulk-related and menstrual symptoms, as compared with the outcome of conventional surgery (29–33). Although HIFU is a minimally-invasive procedure, there are still some common and severe complications which need careful consideration: abnormal vaginal discharge, acute kidney failure, bowel perforation, cystitis, deep vein thrombosis, erythema on the skin, fever, hematuria, hydrenephrosis, hyperpyrexia, intrauterine infection, leg pain, nausea, numbness, pelvic pain, pubic symphysis injury, sacrococcygeal pain, sciatic nerve injury, skin burns, skin blisters, urinary retention, and thrombocytopenia (36, 37).

**Urology**

**Prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia**

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), the most common benign disease, degrades men’s quality of life. The current therapeutic options for BPH are very abundant and include medication, transurethral microwave thermotherapy, transurethral incision of the prostate, transurethral resection of the prostate, transurethral needle ablation, laser therapy, embolization, open or robot-assisted prostatectomy, and HIFU (38). Prostate cancer is the most common malignant tumor among men. The main treatments for prostate cancer are radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy, but recurrence rate of these options after 5 years of treatment is still 20%–50% (39).

As findings have shown in some previous studies, transrectal ultrasonography-guided HIFU is considered as a novel potential minimally-invasive treatment for localized prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia and recommended for low- to intermediate-risk prostate cancer patients (Fig. 9) (40–43). A study by Ahmed et al. (44) reported that patients with unifocal and multifocal prostate cancer who underwent transrectal HIFU ablation had no evidence of recurrence on MRI at 12-month post-treatment follow-up. However, recurrent cancer was seen in more than 20% of the patients who underwent rebiopsy.
Therefore, further follow-up is necessary to better understand long-term treatment outcomes after transrectal ultrasonography-guided HIFU therapy.

Transrectal or transurethral HIFU combined with MRI and thermometry with real-time temperature feedback within the gland during procedure provides (i) more precise localization of tumors to minimize damage to prostatic and peri-prostatic functional structures and (ii) real-time monitoring to allow continuous adjustment of treatment parameters according to the need (Figs. 10 and 11). In an early study led by Napoli et al. (45), patients with unifocal prostate cancer were treated with transrectal MRI-guided HIFU, and the preliminary findings demonstrated that erectile function and urinary continence improved effectively post-treatment. Nevertheless, adverse events such as acute urinary retention or severe rectal wall perforation occurred. A recent transurethral MRI-guided HIFU study by Chin et al. (46) showed that international index of erectile function, international prostate symptom, quality of life, and serum prostate-specific antigen were significantly improved at 12-month follow-up. Adverse events related to the treatment included acute urinary retention, epididymitis, hematuria, and urinary tract infection.

A study by Xu et al. (47) on 262 patients with BPH undergoing transrectal ultrasound-guided HIFU ablative therapy showed that quality of life score, international prostate symptom score, and prostatic volume significantly ameliorated, while the peak uroflow rate increased evidently in one to three years of follow-up. In another study by Lu et al. (48) on 150 patients with BPH undergoing transrectal ultrasound-guided HIFU treatment, quality of life improved and international prostate symptom score declined significantly at 1-, 2-, 6- and 12-month post-treatment. Maximum urine flow rate and prostatic volume were significantly improved at 12 months after treatment. Adverse events related to this treatment were mild hematuria and epididymitis, retrograde ejaculation, short-term hematospermia, and urethro-rectal fistula. These studies demonstrate that treatment of BPH by HIFU is relatively safe and effective (44–48).

Renal tumors

According to European Association of Urology guidelines, HIFU is recommended in patients who have kidney tumors ≤4 cm and are unfit for surgery (49). Several studies, which have investigated HIFU in the treatment of both primary and metastatic renal tumors (50–52), reported that 90% of patients experienced effective pain control simultaneously post-HIFU treatment (41–43). However, several negative factors such as subcutaneous and perinephric fat thickness and the tumor location relative to the ribs, affected this method (53). Higher ultrasonic power is crucial to make up for the energy loss due to absorption by subcutaneous and perinephric fat, consequently increasing the risk of prefocal tissue burning (54).

A phase I study comprising 17 patients with non-metastatic renal tumors undergoing ultrasound-guided HIFU ablation showed that HIFU can achieve tumor ablation in two-thirds of the patients with mean tumor shrinkage of 30%, which is reassuring evidence of successful ablation (55). Another study by Wu et al. (56) on 13 patients with kidney cancer undergoing ultrasound-guided HIFU therapy (group 1 including 7 patients who died during follow-up; group 2 including 6 patients who were still alive) showed that the median survival time was 14.1 months in group 1 and 18.5 months in group 2.

Musculoskeletal

Bone metastasis

Several clinical reports proved that MRI-guided HIFU is efficacious in the palliative treatment of painful bone tumors (Fig. 12) (57–59). The main aim of this approach is to achieve peristeal denervation and tumor debulking, which will improve symptom relief and quality of life.
Furthermore, remineralization of spongyous bone and reduction of lesion size are also observed (60).

A multicenter study by Harding et al. (57) on 18 patients suffering from pain due to bone metastases who underwent MRI-guided HIFU treatment showed that 53% of patients had significantly improved quality of life without any severe side effects at 30-day follow-up. A pilot study by Chan et al. (58) on 10 patients with bone metastases revealed that 5 patients showed pain response without adverse events at 30 days post-treatment. In addition, urinary transforming growth factor alpha (TGFα) was significantly reduced.

In a trial study by Hurwitz et al. (61) on 147 patients with painful bone metastases (112 underwent MRI-guided HIFU and 35 were assigned to placebo treatment), the findings revealed that the response rate of the MRI-guided HIFU group was significantly higher than that of the placebo group (P < 0.05). In addition, at 3-month follow-up, the secondary endpoints assessing worst score and quality of life score of the MRI-guided HIFU group were significantly better than those of the placebo group (P < 0.05). Of the patients, 32.1% reported pain during the ablation procedure. Adverse events included pathologic fractures in 2 patients, third-degree skin burn in one patient, and neuropathy in one patient. Thus, MRI-guided HIFU is a safe and effective alternative treatment for relieving metastatic bone pain.

Osteoid osteoma

Osteoid osteoma, which is a benign tumor but negatively affects both children and young adults, produces severe pain that often appears at night and is relieved by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. When the pain becomes more severe and less responsive to medication, RFA is regarded as an efficacious therapeutic option (59–63). The main aim of surgical treatment or minimally invasive RFA is to destroy the nidus of osteoid osteoma and adjacent periosteal nerves (64).

In terms of a minimally-invasive approach, bleeding, osteomyelitis, exposure to anesthesia, and fast recovery time, HIFU is superior to RFA or conventional surgery. In a study by Napoli et al. (65), 45 osteoid osteoma patients who underwent MRI-guided HIFU were successfully treated without complications. The median visual analogue scale (VAS) pain score reduced significantly from 8 prior to treatment to 0 at 1-week and at 1-, 6-, 12-, 24-, and 36-month follow-ups. Furthermore, the VAS score for pain deteriorating sleep and physical activities reduced significantly to 0 after 1 month of treatment and persisted firmly during the follow-up period. A recent pilot study led by Sharma et al. (66) revealed that the outcomes were not significantly different between HIFU and RFA for pediatric patients. Thus, HIFU is an effective option with promising clinical efficacy and safety as part of a routine strategy for the treatment of osteoid osteoma.
Other potential musculoskeletal applications

The use of HIFU in the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions has also been extended to other conditions, such as low-flow vascular malformations, extra-abdominal desmoid tumors, and osteoarthritis (67). In a previous study by Ghanouni et al. (68), the results revealed that MRI-guided HIFU was considered as an effective treatment without severe complications of low-flow vascular malformations. After 9 months of follow-up, the mean pain score of 5 patients was reduced significantly from 8.4±1.5 to 1.6±2.2 (P < 0.05). Furthermore, the median size of lesions was also reduced significantly from 8.2 mL to 0 mL (P < 0.05).

Osteoarthritis is the most common cause of pain among the elderly. Currently, HIFU offers an alternative option to take into consideration in cases of osteoarthritis. Findings in a recent study on 6 knee osteoarthritis patients undergoing MRI-guided HIFU showed that after 6 months of follow-up, mean VAS decline was 72.6%. Pressure pain thresholds were significantly improved from 358 kpa to 534 kpa (P < 0.05), and treatment was effective in all 6 cases without any serious side-effects (69).

In another study on 18 patients with facet joint osteoarthritis undergoing MRI-guided HIFU, the results revealed that there were no major complications during treatment and follow-up period. Pain numerical rating scale, Oswestry disability questionnaire, and Brief Pain Inventory of the patients were all improved in comparison with the baseline (70).

For desmoid tumor, HIFU has shown a potential role without severe side-effects. Results in a multicenter study manifested that after MRI-guided HIFU, median tumor volume reduced significantly by up to 63%. Average pain score also significantly decreased from 6±2.3 to 1.3±2 (71).

Hepato-pancreato-biliary
Liver tumors

Surgical resection is currently the gold treatment for liver cancer. In the last few decades, the therapeutic concept of both primary and secondary liver tumors has shifted from invasive open surgical procedures to minimally invasive image-guided tumor ablation techniques (72, 73). Visioli et al. (72), who performed the first liver tumor ablations using ultrasound-guided HIFU, reported that the ablations were effective, with the only side effects being transient pain and skin burns, but the authors also stated the difficulties in visualizing the tumor during ablation due to the limitations of ultrasound guidance. However, none of these studies attempted to ablate tumors entirely. Another ultrasound-guided HIFU study on 49 patients with unresectable liver cancer reported a technical success rate of 79.5% and a mortality rate of 2%; complications related to the treatment included skin burns, pain, fever, and mild impairment of liver or renal function, and thus it was demonstrated that HIFU treatment was effective for patients with inoperable hepato-
tocellular carcinoma (74). A recent clinical study led by Chen et al. (75) investigated the feasibility and effectiveness of ultrasound-guided HIFU treatment in patients with unresectable liver cancer (116 primary liver cancer patients and 71 metastatic liver cancer patients). The authors demonstrated that success rates in the left and right lobes were 90.5% and 64.1%, respectively.

Okada et al. (76) reported that clinical MRI-guided HIFU treatment of a patient with a hepatocellular carcinoma of 15 mm in diameter in the left lateral liver segment, avoiding the ribs by using a respiratory monitoring system, was feasible. Their study suggested that MRI-guided HIFU for liver tumors is promising, but technical advances are needed for successful clinical implementation, in particular, (i) concerning respiratory motion of the liver and (ii) planning of an acoustic beam path to avoid ribs and bowel loops.

Pancreatic cancer

Many pancreatic cancer patients exist with inoperable disease, and HIFU can be beneficial in patients with late-stage pancreatic cancer who have only limited treatment options. The results of the latest clinical trials and retrospective studies on patients with inoperable pancreatic cancer revealed that HIFU might be a probable therapeutic treatment option for palliative treatment and pain relief of pancreatic cancer without a negative impact on the exocrine or endocrine functions (77–81).

A clinical study of ultrasound-guided HIFU comprising 89 advanced pancreatic cancer patients undergoing HIFU treatment showed the median survival rates of stage II, III and IV cancer as 26.0 months, 11.2 months, and 5.4 months, respectively. Pain was reduced significantly in 80.6% of patients (80). A report by Wu et al. (81) on 8 advanced-stage pancreatic cancer patients revealed that prior severe back pain vanished after ultrasound-guided HIFU treatment, and no adverse events were noticed. In another study on 7 patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer who underwent MRI-guided HIFU, the treatments were successful without severe adverse events on 6 patients with pancreatic cancer (one case excluded due to the appearance of the transverse colon between the transducer and targeted tumor). VAS was reduced significantly from 7±1 at baseline to 3±1 one week post-treatment (P < 0.05). Immediate mean nonperfused volume was 60%±5%. During the follow-up, 5 patients had no recurrence of the tumor (82). Thus, palliation of pain related to pancreatic cancer may be achieved by HIFU therapy.

Breast

Compared with other treatment options such as mastectomy or tumorectomy, HIFU is an optimal breast-conserving therapy since it does not result in bleeding, wound pain, impaired wound healing or scarring after the treatment. HIFU is utilized for breast cancer treatment, and its rate of technical success ranged from 20% to 100%, relying on patient selection and imaging-guided technique and ablation protocol (Fig. 13) (83–85).

An early randomized clinical trial study by Wu et al. (86) on 48 patients with breast cancer who underwent ultrasound-guided HIFU ablation reported that the HIFU-treated cancer region had fully coagulative necrosis and tumor vascularity was completely blocked without severe complications. Furthermore, immunohistochemical staining showed that PCNA, MMP-9, and CD44v6 were not detected within the ablated tumor.
The histopathologic findings of a study by Furusawa et al. (87) carried out on 31 patients with breast cancer undergoing MRI-guided HIFU and subsequent mastectomy showed mean percentage of breast tumor necrosis as 96.9±4% (78%–100%), with 53.5% of patients having complete necrosis, and only 10.7% patients having <95% necrosis. In a study by Guan et al. (88) on 25 breast cancer patients undergoing ultrasound-guided HIFU, 12-month follow-up findings showed no local recurrence or distant metastatic lesion. Histologic features of breast tissue using hematoxylin-eosin staining showed that HIFU resulted in comprehensively coagulative necrosis in the tumor tissues and vascularities. In addition, biotinylated-ulex europaeus agglutinin I staining of the targeted lesion sample was negative. A clinical trial study by Peek et al. (89) on 51 patients with symptomatic fibroadenomata undergoing ultrasound-guided HIFU showed that volume reduction of breast tumors was 43.2% at 12 months post-treatment. Skin hyperpigmentation was a common adverse effect related to this therapy, but it resolved naturally without any interventions. A recent study by Kovatcheva et al. (90) on 42 patients with breast fibroadenoma undergoing ultrasound-guided HIFU showed that at 12-month follow-up, the mean volume reduction of tumors was 72.5%. Adverse effects related to treatment were skin burn, skin blister, and hyperpigmentation at the treated area.

Thyroid

Thyroid nodules are common problematic conditions unveiled by clinical palpation (5% of cases) and high-resolution ultrasound imaging (60%). Fine-needle aspiration cytology is usually exploited to investigate the histopathology of these nodules as the main evidence for selecting the corresponding treatment (91,92). For symptomatic or growing benign thyroid nodules, surgical resection is traditionally considered as the primary treatment. Nevertheless, surgery carries some risks of anesthesia, voice disorder, hypothyroidism, bleeding, and infection. This has led to the appearance of less invasive treatment options including percutaneous ethanol injection, laser ablation therapy, RFA and HIFU (Fig. 14) (91–93). In a comparative study by Lang et al. (94), 22 thyroid nodule patients adopted ultrasound-guided HIFU versus 22 patients with thyroid nodules who underwent active surveillance. The results showed that the 12-month mean volume reduction in the HIFU group was significant, whereas this was not the case in the surveillance group. Another study by Lang et al. (95) on 108 patients with thyroid nodules showed that after treatment, the mean volume ratio was reduced significantly at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. Furthermore, the baseline VAS was alleviated gradually during 6, 12, and 24 months of follow-up. Therefore, HIFU ablation of symptomatic benign thyroid nodules shows promising efficacy and is a safe treatment option.

**Conclusion**

HIFU is an emerging alternative treatment option for various benign and malignant diseases. It is a novel, minimally invasive and innovative therapeutic method for patients who are ineligible for surgery or have contraindications to surgery. The results of HIFU introduced in this article are primarily propitious. Therefore, we believe that further randomized clinical trial studies should be launched to validate and compare the safety and effectiveness of HIFU with other current available treatments in various diseases.
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