Calcific tendinitis refers to the pathologic deposition of hydroxyapatite crystals in tendons, which may lead to a limited range of motion of the involved joint. Calcific tendinopathy and hydroxyapatite deposition disease are other names that are used to refer to this condition. The calcific deposits may lead to reactive inflammatory changes and may cause excruciating pain. If the deposits are large enough, they may cause the symptoms of impingement. This condition typically affects the rotator cuff tendons of the shoulder, but other sites of involvement are also documented, such as the hip, elbow, wrist, and knee (1).

Calcific deposits are not always symptomatic and can be the incidental findings of the imaging studies of any joint and of periarticular soft tissues (2). On the other hand, these deposits may be a significant source of joint pain (3) and account for 7% of shoulder pain (4). This condition is characterized by the deposition of hydroxyapatite crystals that mostly affect the pre-insertional portions of the rotator cuff tendons, especially the supraspinatus tendon (5).

Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of calcific tendinitis is not completely understood. It seems to be related to a cell-mediated response in which tenocytes undergo a metaplastic transformation into chondrocytes, ultimately resulting in calcium formation in the tendons (6). A decrease of intratendinous oxygen levels promotes fibrocartilaginous metaplasia and cellular necrosis, resulting in calcium deposition.

Uhthoff et al. (7) described calcific tendinitis in three stages: precalcific, calcific, and postcalcific. The precalcific stage represents the fibrocartilaginous transformation of tendon tissue. The calcific stage is the stage of calcium deposition in tendon tissue. This stage is further subdivided into formative and resorptive phases. The formative phase is characterized by the deposition of calcium crystals in tendon tissue. The resorptive phase begins with the vascular weaving of the affected area with subsequent macrophage phagocytosis of the calcium deposits (8). This phase is characterized by edema and increased tendinous pressure with the possible extravasation of calcium crystals in the subacromial-subdeltoid (SASD) bursa. The last stage, the postcalcific phase, includes the remodeling of the tendon via fibroblasts and granulation tissue, ultimately resulting in the complete healing of the involved tendon. This evolving process has distinct imaging findings that often correlate with the symptomatology of patients.

The latent period between the formative and resorptive phases is highly variable, and the resorptive phase is the phase that induces acute pain, leading to imaging studies.
A rare complication of this relatively common entity is the migration of calcific deposits into adjacent tissues. Migration to SASD bursa can occur (Fig. 1). Adjacent bone (usually the humeral head where the rotator cuff tendons insert) and muscular structures are other sites of migration, and these are far less frequently encountered than other complications of calcific tendinopathy.

**Intramuscular migration**

Pereira et al. (5), in their retrospective study involving multiple hospitals over a 7-year time period, reported a series of 11 cases with intramuscular migration of calcium hydroxyapatite crystals that were located in the supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons. Stable calcific deposits appear sharply defined, homogeneous and dense on X-ray examinations. On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), the deposits are visualized as sharply defined hypointense areas on all pulse sequences. Intramuscular migration usually occurs in the resorptive phase of calcific tendinitis. Migrated calcific deposits increase in size. The migrated deposits are of a lower density than the stable portion of the calcific deposit that they migrated from, and their contours become ill-defined (9). On T1-weighted MRI, the migrated portion usually has a higher intensity than the main stable calcium bulk, and a small neck, which is often referred to as a “comet-tail” in the literature (10), can be identified in imaging studies, binding to the main bulk and the migrated portion (Fig. 2). Ultrasonography is another modality that can be used for the detection of calcific deposits and on which they appear as hyperechoic foci. Becciolini et al. (11) described four cases of intramuscular migration of calcium that were demonstrated by ultrasonography. The supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles seem to be more commonly affected by the migration of calcium crystals. However, migrated calcium can also be seen in the subscapularis muscle (Fig. 3). The extensive reactive edema in the muscle adjacent to the calcific deposits may be confusing and raise concerns about infectious processes such as pyogenic myositis or of a denervation injury of the involved muscles. Another common differential diagnosis is traumatic injury to the rotator cuff tendons and accompanying muscular strain. In case of a partial-thickness tear, the retracted fibers may have a globular appearance, making the distinction much more difficult (12). MRI is suggested as the modality of choice to assess muscular involvement in calcific tendinopathy (5). However, as mentioned above, this modality may cause diagnostic confusion, and the presence of the calcium deposits needs to be confirmed using X-rays (Figs. 2, 3). Further imaging and possible interventions can be avoided by using plain X-rays as the first step of the diagnostic workup.

**Intraosseous migration**

The mechanism of cortical erosion and the subsequent intraosseous migration of calcific deposits is not completely understood. Enzymatic actions may facilitate the lysis of the cortical bone and the subsequent dissemination of calcific deposits subcortically. Intraosseous migration can be classified as cortical erosion, subcortical calcium migration, and intramedullary diffusion (13).

Marinetti et al. (14) reported 2 cases with intraosseous migration of calcific tendinitis, providing MRI findings to aid the differential diagnosis and prevent unnecessary interventional procedures for diagnostic purposes. Intraosseous migration can also be documented using ultrasonography, and
local hyperemia can be detected in areas of cortical erosion using color Doppler imaging (15).

Calcific dissemination can cause extensive reactive medullary edema on MRI. This may lead to diagnostic challenges. Neoplastic processes (especially juxtacortical growths such as osteoid osteoma, chondroid lesions and periosteal osteosarcoma) and infectious processes, such as osteomyelitis, need to be ruled out. The lack of joint effusion and the absence of soft tissue mass with acute clinical presentation may help with the exclusion of such entities. Computed tomography scans are extremely efficient in demonstrating the migration of calcific deposits and should be used as a problem-solving tool in problematic cases (Figs. 4, 5). Follow-up examinations can take advantage of the self-limiting nature of calcific tendinitis and may show the complete resolution of imaging findings, excluding neoplastic processes.

Radiologists should be aware that calcific deposits can be seen in any tendon. The pectoralis major tendon insertion, flexor carpi ulnaris tendon distal attachment and flexor tendons of the fingers of the hand are common sites of involvement. The insertion of the gluteus maximus tendon on the gluteal tubercle of the femur is another common site of such deposits. Reactive

Figure 2. a–f. A 36-year-old female with acute onset right shoulder pain and severely limited range of motion. An AP radiograph of the shoulder (a) shows calcification extending medially to the myotendinous junction of the supraspinatus. Another calcification deposit that is less apparent due to its lower density extends as far as the level of the coracoid process (white arrows). Fat-saturated T2-weighted axial image (b) depicts the latter less apparent calcification that was observed on the X-ray as it migrated deep into the supraspinatus muscle belly, causing extensive muscle edema. T1-weighted (c, d) and fat-saturated T2-weighted (e, f) images on subsequent coronal planes show two massive calcific deposits with different signal intensities. The migrated portion has a higher signal intensity on the T1-weighted image (arrowhead). The comet-tail sign is evident (white arrow).

Figure 3. a–d. A 39-year-old male with acute onset right shoulder pain and limited range of motion. A plain X-ray (a) shows two large calcifications in the region of the subscapularis muscle (white arrows). T1-weighted and T2-weighted fat-saturated coronal images (b, c) show the migration of calcium deposits medially along the subscapularis fibers. Muscle edema and accompanying subcoracoid bursitis are also evident on the sagittal T2-weighted fat-saturated image (d).
edema in the adjacent thigh muscles may be quite exaggerated and cause the suspicion of myositis. In some cases, subcortical erosion caused by calcific migration may mimic a nidus of osteoid osteoma (Fig. 6).

The specific site of the tendon insertion and the elongated calcium deposit along the tendon, rather than the reactive sclerotic osteoid formation seen in osteoid osteoma, can aid in making the correct diagnosis.

Conclusion
Calcific tendinitis is a common and well-documented disease in the literature. The intrasosseous and intramuscular migra-
Rare complications of calcific tendinitis

Figure 6. a–f. A 37-year-old male with acute right hip pain, especially upon palpation of the inferior gluteal region. Axial and coronal reformatted CT images (a, b) show hazy amorphous soft tissue calcifications near the upper part of the linea aspera of the femur (white arrow). Erosion and disruption of the posterior cortex of the proximal femur near the insertion site of the gluteus maximus tendon are evident, consistent with subcortical migration of the calcific deposits. Fat-saturated T2-weighted images on the axial and coronal planes and postcontrast subtracted images on the coronal plane (c, d, e) demonstrate cortical erosion (c, white arrowhead) and extensive soft tissue edema in the proximal thigh area extending to the trochanteric bursa (d, white arrows). The findings are centered around a focal area of low signal intensity (e, black arrow), which corresponds to the calcific deposit on the CT images. The subcortical medullary bone signal appears normal. Follow-up CT (not shown) and magnetic resonance images from 2 months later (f) demonstrate near-complete resolution of the findings (same level as in image (d)).
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